

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise, Tourism and
the Environment

to

**Traffic and Parking Working Party and
Cabinet Committee**

on

22nd February 2010

Agenda
Item No.

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry, Team Leader
(Demand Management)

**Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders
Various Areas – Shoebury
Executive Councillor: Councillor Waite
*A Part 1 Public Agenda Item***

1. Purpose of Report

For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee to consider objections to an advertised Traffic Regulation Order, and make an appropriate decision.

2. Recommendation

2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working Party consider the objections to the Order and officers comments as detailed in Appendix 1 of this report.

- 2.1.1 Make the Order without amendments, or
- 2.1.2 Make the Order with amendments, or
- 2.1.3 Take no further action

2.2 That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic and Parking Working Party in respect of the objections and

- 2.2.1 Make the Order without amendments, or
- 2.2.2 Make the Order with amendments, or
- 2.2.3 Take no further action

In the event of either 2.2.1 or 2.2.2 being agreed to authorise the making of the Orders as advertised, or amend to reduce the impact, as appropriate.

3. Background

3.1 Members agreed to advertise various Traffic Regulation Orders to prohibit parking at junctions and at locations where parking was felt to be inappropriate or potentially hazardous. Following advertisement of the Order comments have been received which are summarised in Appendix 1 of the report and copies of the comment received have been available for inspection in the Members room.

4. Other Options

4.1 All options are detailed above.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

5.1 To reduce safety concerns.

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities.

The proposals are designed to reduce potential hazards or traffic flow difficulties resulting in safer roads.

6.2 Financial Implications

Costs for the scheme are to be paid by the developer of the site.

6.3 Legal Implications

The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

6.4 People Implications

Staff time as required to organise and monitor the required works, will be met from existing resources.

6.5 Property Implications

None

6.6 Consultation

Formal consultation has been undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street.

7. Background Papers

None

8. Appendices

8.1 Appendix 1 – details of objections and officers comments/recommendations.